

Discussion Problems 4

Problem One: Connective Completeness

Show that using just the \wedge , \vee , \neg , \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow , \top , and \perp connectives, it is possible to express any possible binary connective. That is, if you were to write out the truth table for an arbitrary binary connective $p \star q$, you could always find a formula in propositional logic that was equivalent to evaluating $p \star q$.

Problem Two: Disjunctive Normal Form

In lecture, we talked about propositional formulas in *conjunctive normal form* (CNF), in which all formulas were the many-way \wedge of the many-way \vee of literals (which were either variables or their negations). For example, the following formula is in CNF:

$$(x \vee \neg y) \wedge (x \vee \neg z \vee w) \wedge (w \vee x \vee y \vee z)$$

Another normal form for propositional formulas is *disjunctive normal form* (DNF), in which all formulas are the many-way \vee of the many-way \wedge of literals (which are either variables or their negations). For example, the following formula is in DNF:

$$(x \wedge y \wedge \neg z) \vee (\neg x \wedge y \wedge \neg z) \vee (\neg x \wedge \neg y)$$

- i. Come up with a very efficient algorithm to solve SAT on formulas in DNF.

Suppose that you have an algorithm A that, given a formula in DNF, can count the number of satisfying assignments to A . That is, $A(\phi)$ returns the total number of satisfying assignments to the DNF formula ϕ .

- ii. Show how to write the negation of any CNF formula as a DNF formula.
- iii. Create an algorithm that uses A as a subroutine to solve SAT for CNF formulas. Prove that your algorithm is correct. (*Hint: If there are n variables in a propositional formula, there are 2^n possible variable assignments.*)

Problem Three: Translating into Logic

- i. Given the predicate $Person(x)$, which states that x is a person, and $Muggle(x)$, which states that x is a muggle, write a statement in first-order logic that says “some (but not all) people are muggles.”
- ii. Given the predicate $Person(x)$, which states that x is a person, and $Commoner(x)$, which states that x is a commoner, write a statement in first-order logic that says “there are either zero or one people who are not commoners.”